

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/691,073	10/22/2003	David Catanzarite	IR-3362(MVE)	9337
	EXAMINER			
			BARFIELD, ANTHONY DERRELL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3636	
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/691,073	CATANZARITE ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Anthony D. Barfield	3636			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHOWHIC - Externafter - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 July 2007</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 2-9,11,12 and 14-38,  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1,10,13,39 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	40-41 is/are withdrawn from cons	sideration.			
Applicati	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2.	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2) Notice 3) Information	tt(s)  ce of References Cited (PTO-892)  ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  cr No(s)/Mail Date 10/0385/04.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	ate			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of species I, Figures 1a-1d in the reply filed on 7/30/07 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that claims 1-23 and 39-41 were restricted out previously. This is not found persuasive because the basis for the restriction requirement (of 5/01/2007) is the fact that applicant has recited/claimed patentable distinct species within claims 1-23 and 39-41 which have been identified within the office action of 5/01/2007. Applicant may positively establish that they are not patentable distinct species. Consequently, if one embodiment is rejected then all embodiments will be rejected as obvious variances of one another.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. Claims 2-9,11-12,14-38 and 40-41 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species or invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 2/12/07 and 7/30/07, respectively.

### Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement filed 10/22/03 and 5/28/04 fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Application/Control Number: 10/691,073 Page 3

Art Unit: 3636

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Scatback 1 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yamashita et al. Yamashita et al. shows a seatback tilt limiter (1,2) for adjustably limiting the recline of a seatback, the seatback tilt limiter comprised of a first rotator (21) with repeating periodic protrusions (23a), the first rotator having a full forward seatback upright endstop and a full recline seatback endstop (as defined by the banana slot 24), and a second rotator (11) with repeating periodic receivers (13a), the second rotator having an adjustment endstop (14), the first rotator periodic protrusions disengagably received by the second rotator receivers, wherein the first rotator is free to rotate between the full forward seatback upright endstop and the full recline seatback endstop when the protrusions are disengaged and not received in the second rotator receivers and the second rotator adjustment endstop limits the rotation of the first rotator to an

Art Unit: 3636

adjustable reclined seatback tilt limit when the protrusions are received in the second rotator receivers (see Figs. 4-7).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 10,13 and 39 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamashita et al. Yamashita et al. shows all of the teachings of the claimed invention.

  Consequently, the method steps would have been incorporated within the use of the invention, as taught by Yamashita et al.

#### Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Reference Nos. 4,008,920, 4,614,454 and 5,299,818 show features of the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony D. Barfield whose telephone number is 571-272-6852. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/691,073 Page 5

Art Unit: 3636

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1008.

Anthony D Barrield

Art Unit 3636

adb

October 11, 2007